UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,903	01/08/2007	Richard Head	024219-0105	3340
	7590 03/03/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIXI	YU, HONG		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/578,903	HEAD ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HONG YU	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: ute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. / be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. /ance except for formal matters			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 9-14 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 5,7,8 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	withdrawn from consideration			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	nor			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date´. rmal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Status of claims

The amendment file on 10/30/2009 is acknowledged. Claim 6 has been canceled, claims 1-4 and 9-14 have been withdrawn, and new claims 15-17 have been added. Claims 5, 7, 8, and 15-17 are under examination in the instant office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5, 7, 8, 15, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sair et al. (US 4,230,687).

Sair et al. meets all of the limitations of claims 5, 7, 8, and 15. Sair et al. discloses an encapsulation material comprising casein as a protein and modified starch Capsul as a treated carbohydrate (claim 1 and column 9, line 24-28). Sair et al. is silent about the modified starch as carbohydrate being treated to make emulsions of the encapsulation material stable and to increase the number of sugar reducing groups in the carbohydrate. The treated carbohydrate recited in the disclosure of the instant specification is Capsul (page 7, line 30) which is the same as the modified starch (Capsul) disclosed by Sair et al., thus the modified starch disclosed by Sair et al. is

Art Unit: 1616

necessarily treated to make emulsions of the encapsulation material stable and to increase the number of sugar reducing groups in the carbohydrate. Sair et al. is silent about the encapsulation material releasing the therapeutic and nutritional agents in predetermined location in the gastro-intestinal tract. The encapsulation material disclosed by Sair et al. comprises the same components as the encapsulation material recited in the instant claim, thus the encapsulation material disclosed by Sair et al. would necessarily posses the same release property as that of the encapsulation material recited in the instant claim.

Sair et al. meets all of the limitations of claim 16. Sair et al. discloses the said encapsulation material being dried to form powder (column 24, line 52 and 53).

Sair et al. meets all the limitations of claim 17. Sair et al. discloses a method of making encapsulation material comprising dissolving casein in water then mixing the casein solution with essential oil (example 4: column 12, line 40-51). Although the method of making the encapsulation material disclosed by Sair et al. is different from the method of making the encapsulation material recited in the instant claim; the instant claim is a product-by-process claim. The determination of patentability of a product-by-process claim is based on the product itself, not its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process. Both of the encapsulation material disclosed by Sair et al. and the encapsulation material recited in the instant claim comprise the same components with the components being physically mixed, thus the product disclosed by Sair et al. would

necessarily be the same as the product recited in the instant claim. The burden is shifted to the applicant to provide evidence to demonstrate that the structure of the claimed encapsulation material resulted from the said process is different from that of the encapsulation material disclosed in the prior art. See MPEP 2113 [R-1].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed on 10/30/2009, have been fully considered but they are most in view of new ground of rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Yu whose telephone number is 571-270-1328. The examiner can normally be reached 8:50-5:20 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,903 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 1616

/Johann R. Richter/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616